UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,637	08/03/2007	Egbert Classen	2003P01972WOUS	3546
46726 7590 04/28/2010 BSH HOME APPLIANCES CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 100 BOSCH BOULEVARD NEW BERN, NC 28562			EXAMINER	
			HAMMOND, BRIGGITTE R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

NBN-IntelProp@bshg.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/583,637	CLASSEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Briggitte R. Hammond	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be solved will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froute, cause the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16.  2a) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ■ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, p				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 14-24 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 14-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction and subject to restriction.	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir  10) The drawing(s) filed on 6/20/2006 is/are: a)  Applicant may not request that any objection to th  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	accepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)	о <b>П</b>	(DTO 440)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4)  Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail   5)  Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date			

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 16, 2010 has been entered.

### Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plurality of different mains cables, each having a differently configured mains contact plug" must be shown or the features canceled from the claims. No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining

figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 14- 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The scope of claims 14 and portions of claim 24 are indefinite because there is an inconsistency between the body and the preamble. The preamble indicates that the subcombination, a connector device, is being claimed.

However, the body contains positive limitations directed toward a mains cable and a cable harness, suggesting that applicant intends to claim the combination of the connector device, the mains cable and the cable harness. Applicant is required to clarify what subject matter the claim is intended to be drawn to and the language of the claim must be amended to be consistent with this intent. For examination purposes the Examiner will give little patentable weight to what the device is "able" to be coupled to.

It is also unclear to the Examiner in claims 14 and 24, how the "second plug-in connector ... has an identical connector configuration for each of the different mains cables". This is unclear because applicant discloses on page 6, line 24 of the specification, that the "second plug-in connector 11 has encodings 21 in the form of moulded-on housing material which prevents incorrect connection of the mains cable.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

As best understood, claims 14,15,23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Judge et al. 2004/0005815. Regarding claims 14 and 24, Judge et al. discloses in figure 3 a connector device 70 comprising a first plug-in connector and a second plug-in connector.

Regarding claim 15, structure 75 is waterproof.

Regarding claim 23, the first plug is a group plug with a plurality of contact elements (see fig. 23).

As best understood, claims 14 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Machado 6,764,321. Regarding claims 14 and 24, Machado discloses in fig. 7 a connector device comprising a first plug-in connector and a second plug-in connector.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

As best understood, claims 14 -24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Machado AAPA discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Applicant discloses that a "mains connecting socket... with an interference suppression filter" is well known in the art (paragraph [0003]). AAPA does not disclose the connection elements effecting a detachable mechanical and electrical connection. However Machado discloses in figure 7 a connector device comprising a first plug-in connector and a second plug-in connector with connection elements, the connection elements effecting a detachable mechanical and electrical connection. Therefor, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to modify the connector of AAPA by providing the connection elements with a detachable mechanical and electrical connection as taught by Machado effecting a detachable mechanical and electrical connection.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 29, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's claims are not structurally distinguishable from the prior art. The terms detachable/coupleable just mean that the structure be able to be coupled/detached.

Apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art. While features of an apparatus may be recited either structurally or functionally, claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d 1473, 1477-78, 44 USPQ2d 1429, 1431-32 (Fed. Cir. 1997).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Briggitte R. Hammond whose telephone number is (571)272-2006. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and alternate Fridays from 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Renee Luebke can be reached on 571-272-2009. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,637 Page 7

Art Unit: 2833

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Briggitte R. Hammond/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2833

April 23, 2010